

study was to investigate the effects of partial replacement of soybean meal protein with autoclave treated WGM protein on feed cost and growth performance of rabbits.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiments were conducted at Borg-El Arab, located in Alexandria governorate, Egypt. The autoclave treatments were performed at the laboratories of By-Products Research Department, Animal Production Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Giza, Egypt.

Ethical approval

The study was carried out after obtaining the ethical approval from the Animal Production Research Institute, Egypt.

Wheat germ meal processing

WGM was obtained from the processing and extraction unit of natural oils, National Research Center, Giza, Egypt. It was ground by a hammer mill and stored in an air-tight condition then kept for further processing.

Autoclave treatment

WGM was treated with an autoclave at 121 °C for 20 minutes as recommended by Moran and Summers (1967). After treatment of the WGM, the drying was done in the air for 10 min and then kept for chemical analysis before mixing to the diets. Dry gluten content was determined according to Haraszi et al. (2011) and trypsin inhibitor activity was assessed as defined by Makkar et al. (2008). These measurements were performed before and after treatment.

Animal management and feeding

Seventy-five weaned New Zealand White rabbits of both sexes (six weeks old, the average body weight of 659.60±18.84 g) were allocated to five dietary treatments of 15 rabbits per treatment. Each treatment was replicated 5 times (three rabbits per replicate). The T₁ was fed the control diet, the T₂ and T₃ received 20% and 40% untreated WGM in replacing of soybean meal protein, respectively (6.12 and 12.31% of the whole diet, respectively). The T₄ and T₅ were fed on 20% and 40% autoclave-treated WGM, which consisted of 6.20 and 12.41% the whole diet, respectively. The ingredients and chemical composition of diets are presented in table 1. All the experimental diets were formulated to be isonitrogenous and isocaloric, to meet all the essential nutrient requirements of growing rabbits according to (Egyptian Agriculture Ministry Decree, 1996). The animals were reared in metal battery cages equipped with separated feeders and automatic nipple drinkers. All animals were receiving control diet for one week before the start of the experimental period and vaccinated against diseases during the veterinary examinations. Feed and water were offered *ad libitum*. The management and hygienic conditions were identical for all groups.

Table 1. Ingredients and chemical composition of experimental diets

Ingredients (%)	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅
Barley	30.26	27.48	25.93	27.48	25.83
Clover hay	26.74	27.05	26.05	27.05	26.05
Wheat bran	18.40	18.40	18.40	18.40	18.40
Soybean meal (44%)	18.10	14.47	10.86	14.47	10.86
Wheat germ meal	--	6.15	12.31	6.20	12.41
Molasses	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Di calcium phosphate	2.20	2.20	2.25	2.20	2.25
Sodium chloride (NaCl)	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Vit.& min. mix ¹	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Lime stone	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35
DL-Methionine	0.30	0.25	0.20	0.25	0.20
Anticoccidia (Diclazuril)	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Total	100	100	100	100	100
Chemical analysis²					
Crude protein (%)	17.70	17.37	17.04	17.36	17.06
Crude fiber (%)	12.87	12.64	12.08	12.65	12.08
Ether extract (%)	2.17	2.44	2.70	2.39	2.70
Nitrogen-free extract (%)	59.22	59.88	58.98	58.39	59.16
Digestible Energy (kcal/kg)	2533.35	2515.5	2512.5	2515.6	2512.4
Methionine+ cysteine (%)	0.55	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.54
Lysine (%)	0.91	1.10	1.28	1.09	1.28

T₁: control diet, T₂: 20% untreated wheat germ meal, T₃: 40% untreated wheat germ meal, T₄: 20% autoclave -treated wheat germ meal, T₅: 40% autoclave-treated wheat germ meal. ¹Vitamins (Vit.) and minerals mixture; each 3 kg contains: Vit. A 6000.000 IU, Vit.B₁ 2000mg, Vit.B₂ 4000mg, Vit.D₃ 900000 IU, Vit E 40000mg, Vit. K₃ 2000 mg, Pantothenic acid 10000mg; Nicotinic acid, 50000g; Vit. B₆ 2000 mg; Vit. B₁₂ 10 mg, Folic acid 3.0g, Biotin 50 mg, choline 250000mg, Cu 5g, Mn85g 60g, Fe 50g, , Co 0.1 g, Se 0.1 g, Zn 50 g, I 0.2 g and Antioxidant 10000mg. ²Chemical analysis of ingredients according to AOAC, 2000.

Experimental parameters

Growth performance

Feed Intake (FI, g/ rabbit/ day) and Body Weight Gain (BWG, g/ rabbit/ day) were recorded weekly. Moreover, the Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR) was calculated as FI/BWG over an experimental period of 8 weeks.

Digestion trial

Digestibility test was carried out using five male rabbits from each experimental group in the last week of the experiment (14 weeks of age). The feces were daily collected separately for six days. The feces were sprayed with 2% boric acid solution for trapping released ammonia and dried at 60° C for 48 hours (until constant weight). Feces were ground and stored for subsequent chemical analysis. Samples of WGM, diets, and feces were analyzed for moisture, ash, Nitrogen-Free Extract (NFE), EE, CF, and CP according to AOAC (2000).

Carcass traits

At 14 weeks of age, 25 rabbits (five rabbits per treatment) were slaughtered to determine carcass traits according to Biasco and Ouhayoun (1996).

Chemical meat measurement

Longissimus dorsi muscles from 25 samples were frozen at -20 °C for determination of total cholesterol, MDA and Total Antioxidant Capacity (TAOC) by colorimetric methods using analytical kits (Bio Diagnostic Company, Egypt)

Blood parameters

Blood samples were taken from the five rabbits per treatment at the time of slaughter and were collected in dry clean tubes containing heparin and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 20 minutes. Then plasma was separated and stored in a deep freezer at approximately -20°C till the time for determining total lipids, total cholesterol, total protein, and albumin. Aspartate Aminotransferase (AST) and Alanine Aminotransferase (ALT) were colorimetrically determined using commercial kits, according to the manufacturer's instruction (purchased from Bio Diagnostic, Cairo, Egypt). The concentration of globulin (g/ dl) was calculated by subtracting albumin from total protein values.

Statistical analysis

The experimental data were subjected to using analysis of variance (ANOVA) in the general linear model using SAS version 9 (SAS Institute Inc.) by the following model:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + T_i + e_{ij}$$

Where μ was overall mean of Y_{ij} ; T was the effect of treatment; i was (1, 2, ..., etc.), and e_{ij} was experimental error. The Significant differences between treatments means were separated by Duncan's multiple range test (1955).

Economic efficiency

Economic efficiency was calculated using the following equation: Economic efficiency = Net revenue / total costs
Total cost was calculated in Egyptian pound according to the price at the time of the experiment.

RESULTS

Chemical composition

Chemical analysis of WGM and AWGM in comparison to soybean meal are shown in table 2. The CP was higher in soybean meal (44 %) compared to WGM (25.83%) and AWGM (25.62%). Also, the CF was higher in soybean meal (7.3 %) compared to WGM (1.28 %) and AWGM (1.22%). However, the EE of soybean meal was lower (1.50%) in comparison to WGM (6.02%) and AWGM (5.30%). On the other hand, DE of soybean meal was 3200 kcal/ kg closed with WGM (2900 kcal/kg) and AWGM (2901 kcal/ kg). WGM contained 4% dry gluten and trypsin inhibitor activity was 2.817 mg/g, while autoclave treatment reduced dry gluten by 50% and trypsin inhibitor activity by 62.4% compared to WGM.

Growth performance

The results of final body weight, daily BWG, FI, and FCR are presented in table 3. Rabbits fed either T_1 or T_4 showed significantly higher final body weight followed by group fed T_2 compared to T_3 and T_5 . While groups fed T_3 and T_5 had the lowest values. Rabbits fed control diet and T_4 recorded insignificantly higher BWG compared to T_2 and T_5 . While the lowest values were recorded for T_3 . The FI was not significantly affected by any of the tested diets. Regarding FCR, the group fed T_4 recorded the higher value without significant differences with T_1 group. Whereas, the lowest values were found in groups fed T_3 and T_5 .

Table 2. Chemical analysis of soybean meal, wheat germ meal and autoclave-treated wheat germ meal based on dry matter percentage

Items	Soybean meal*	WGM	AWGM
Organic matter (%)	93.50	93.40	93.48
Crude protein (%)	44.00	25.83	25.62
Crude fiber (%)	7.30	1.28	1.22
Ether extract (%)	1.50	6.02	5.30
Nitrogen-free extract (%)	40.7	60.27	61.34
Ash (%)	6.50	6.60	6.52
Digestible energy** (Kcal/kg)	3200	2900	2901
Anti-nutritional factors			
Dry gluten (%)	-	4.00	2.00
Trypsin inhibitor activity (mg/g)	21.00	2.817	1.058

WGM: Wheat Germ Meal, AWGM: Autoclave-treated Wheat Germ Meal. *Chemical analysis according to Feed composition for animal and poultry feedstuff used in Egypt (2001). **Digestible energy calculation was performed according to (Cheeke, 1987).

Table 3. Growth performance of New Zealand White rabbits (six weeks old) fed diets containing untreated or treated wheat germ meal

Items	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅	SEM
Initial body weight (g)	645.00	668.00	671.00	641.00	673.00	18.84
Final body weight (g)	2143.3 ^a	2031.7 ^{ab}	1872.0 ^c	2108.0 ^a	1965.0 ^{bc}	30.56
Daily body weight gain (g)	26.76 ^a	24.35 ^{ab}	21.44 ^b	26.20 ^a	23.07 ^{ab}	0.70
Daily feed intake (g)	103.59	94.59	94.27	99.33	95.00	3.80
Feed conversion ratio (g feed/ g gain)	3.87 ^{bc}	3.88 ^{bc}	4.39 ^a	3.79 ^c	4.11 ^{ab}	0.10

Different superscript letters within the same row mean significant differences ($P < 0.05$). T₁: control diet, T₂: 20% untreated wheat germ meal, T₃: 40% untreated wheat germ meal, T₄: 20% autoclave-treated wheat germ meal, T₅: 40% autoclave-treated wheat germ meal. SEM: Standard error of the mean.

Digestion coefficient

According to results shown in table 4, AWGM increased nutritive values for the rabbit compared with 40% untreated WGM. These results indicated that CP digestibility was significantly higher in T₁ and T₄ groups in comparison to other groups. Digestibility of CF, EE, and NFE were significantly improved in T₁, T₂ and T₄. Digestible CP values were significantly increased in T₁ and the lowest values were found with inculcation untreated or treated WGM at the level of 40%. Feeding with T₄ and T₁ diets enhanced total digestible nutrients, digestible crude protein and digestible energy compared to other treatments.

Carcass characteristics

The results of carcass characteristics are presented in table 5. Carcass and dressing percentage were significantly higher in T₁, T₂ and T₄ in comparison to other groups. While T₃ and T₅ were significantly decreased in the carcass weight and dressing percentage compared to T₁. However, the percentage of liver, kidney, heart, and giblets were not significantly affected by any treatments.

Meat quality

Table 6 shows that the T₁ and T₅ recorded the highest TAOC value values in rabbit meat followed by T₂ and the lowest values were recorded for T₃ and T₄. The highest MDA value was recorded for T₂ followed by T₅. While T₁ group was found to have the lowest value. Regarding total cholesterol in rabbit meat, T₂ recorded significantly the highest level. The lowest level was found in the groups of T₅ and T₃.

Blood constituents

The plasma concentration of total protein, albumin, globulin, total cholesterol, and lipids are shown in table 7. The obtained values of blood parameters were within the normal range. Insignificant differences were found in the concentration of plasma albumin, ALT and AST in different tested treatments. Plasma total protein increased significantly in T₂ and T₄ compared to control and T₅ groups. Globulin values were significantly higher in rabbits fed T₂ and T₄ compared to other groups. The concentration of total cholesterol and lipid in plasma were significantly higher in T₁ and T₃ compared to control group.

Economic efficiency

Effects of replacement of treated or untreated WGM on economic efficiency are shown in table 8. The results indicated that inclusion 20 or 40% treated or untreated WGM decreased total feed cost /rabbit as a result of decreasing FI compared to control group. Growing rabbits fed diets either T₄ or T₂ recorded high economic efficiency followed by T₁. Also, selling price increased in T₄ than control (71.88 and 73.40 LE, respectively). The same trend was found in relative economic, where the best values were recorded in T₄ and T₂ groups (109.19 and 105.6 %, respectively), while the poorest value was recorded in T₃ group.

Table 4. Digestion coefficient of New Zealand White rabbits (six weeks old) fed on autoclave-treated or untreated wheat germ meal.

Items	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅	SEM
Organic matter (%)	64.05	60.60	58.67	61.44	58.81	1.18
Crude protein (%)	74.31 ^a	65.94 ^b	60.67 ^b	71.80 ^a	61.34 ^b	1.62
Crude fiber (%)	40.85 ^a	33.99 ^{ab}	27.18 ^b	42.39 ^a	30.75 ^b	1.80
Ether extract (%)	80.77 ^a	77.09 ^{ab}	68.27 ^c	78.15 ^{ab}	73.82 ^{bc}	1.33
Nitrogen-free extract (%)	76.89 ^a	72.79 ^{ab}	68.68 ^b	75.41 ^a	71.73 ^{ab}	0.96
Digestible crude protein (%)	13.15 ^a	11.37 ^{ab}	10.33 ^b	12.46 ^a	10.46 ^b	0.41
Total digestible nutrients (%)	58.33 ^a	54.61 ^b	48.80 ^c	57.00 ^{ab}	50.66 ^c	1.03
Digestible energy*(kcal/kg)	2584.01 ^a	2419.22 ^b	2161.84 ^c	2525.1 ^{ab}	2244.23 ^c	45.59

T₁: control diet, T₂: 20% untreated wheat germ meal, T₃: 40% untreated wheat germ meal, T₄: 20% autoclave-treated wheat germ meal, T₅: 40% autoclave-treated wheat germ meal. SEM: Standard error of the mean. Different superscript letters within the same row mean significant differences (p<0.05). * Digestible energy = Total digestible nutrients* 44.3, according to Schneider and Flatt (1975).

Table 5. Carcass traits of New Zealand White rabbits (six weeks old) fed on autoclave-treated or untreated Wheat germ meal

Items	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅	SEM
Carcass weight (%)	56.69 ^a	55.43 ^a	53.29 ^b	55.69 ^a	53.74 ^b	0.37
Dressing (%)	60.38 ^a	58.89 ^{ab}	56.80 ^c	59.52 ^a	57.59 ^{bc}	0.39
Liver (%)	2.91	2.42	2.60	2.91	2.89	0.09
Heart (%)	0.275	0.293	0.269	0.273	0.267	0.01
Spleen (%)	0.035	0.048	0.055	0.036	0.053	0.003
Kidney (%)	0.706	0.705	0.588	0.614	0.645	0.01
Giblets (%)	3.69	3.46	3.51	3.83	3.85	0.08

T₁: control diet, T₂: 20% untreated wheat germ meal, T₃: 40% untreated wheat germ meal, T₄: 20% autoclave-treated wheat germ meal, T₅: 40% autoclave-treated wheat germ meal. SEM: Standard error of the mean. Different superscript letters within the same row mean significant differences (p<0.05)

Table 6. Meat quality of New Zealand White rabbits (six weeks old) fed on untreated or autoclave-treated wheat germ meal

Items	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅	SEM
TAOC (mM/g)	1.025 ^{ab}	0.3800 ^{ab}	0.465 ^c	0.9200 ^b	1.25 ^a	0.085
MDA(mg/g)	1.26 ^d	3.17 ^a	1.835 ^c	1.815 ^c	2.445 ^b	0.162
Total cholesterol(mg/g)	13.50 ^c	25.95 ^a	12.85 ^c	22.15 ^b	12.85 ^c	0.922

TAOC: Total Antioxidant Capacity MDA: Malondialdehyde. T₁: control diet, T₂: 20% untreated wheat germ meal, T₃: 40% untreated wheat germ meal, T₄: 20% autoclave-treated wheat germ meal, T₅: 40% autoclave-treated wheat germ meal. Different superscript letters within the same row mean significant differences (P<0.05). SEM: Standard error of the mean.

Table 7. Blood constitutes of New Zealand White rabbits (six weeks old) with treated or untreated wheat germ meal

Items	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅	SEM
Total protein (g/ dl)	5.44 ^b	6.10 ^a	5.59 ^{ab}	6.10 ^a	5.46 ^b	0.10
Albumin (g/ dl)	2.82	2.96	2.90	2.69	2.75	0.05
Globulin (g/ dl)	2.62 ^b	3.14 ^a	2.69 ^b	3.41 ^a	2.71 ^b	0.09
Total cholesterol (g/ dl)	83.75 ^a	57.90 ^b	75.17 ^a	58.16 ^b	53.56 ^b	3.46
Total lipid (g/ dl)	311.90 ^a	254.33 ^b	290.87 ^a	190.45 ^c	187.49 ^c	14.13
ALT(U/ L)	12.73	12.48	12.32	13.02	12.54	0.12
AST(U/ L)	16.22	15.01	14.70	15.44	15.02	0.25

T₁: control diet, T₂: 20% untreated wheat germ meal, T₃: 40% untreated wheat germ meal, T₄: 20% autoclave-treated wheat germ meal, T₅: 40% autoclave-treated wheat germ meal. SEM: Standard error of the mean. Different superscript letters within the same row mean significant differences (p<0.05).

Table 8. Economic efficiency of New Zealand White rabbits (six weeks old) fed on diets with treated or untreated wheat germ meal

Items	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅
Total weight gain (kg)	1.498	1.363	1.200	1.467	1.292
Price of 1kg body weight	49	49	49	49	49
Selling price/rabbit (LE) (A)	73.40	66.78	58.85	71.88	63.31
Total feed intake	5.801	5.297	5.279	5.562	5.320
Price/kg feed (LE)	4.44	4.27	4.16	4.28	4.17
Total feed cost/rabbit (LE) (B)	25.75	22.61	21.96	23.80	22.18
Net revenue(LE) ¹	47.65	44.17	36.89	48.08	41.13
Economic efficiency ²	1.85	1.953	1.679	2.02	1.854
Relative economic efficiency ³	100	105.60	90.80	109.19	100.23

T₁: control diet, T₂: 20% untreated wheat germ meal, T₃: 40% untreated wheat germ meal, T₄: 20% autoclave-treated wheat germ meal, T₅: 40% autoclave-treated wheat germ meal. LE: Egyptian pound. 1: Net revenue = A – B. 2: Economic efficiency = (A-B/B). 3: Relative Economic Efficiency= Economic efficiency of treatments other than the control/ Economic efficiency of the control group.

DISCUSSION

Chemical composition

According to the results obtained in the present study, AWGM did not affect chemical composition of CP, CF, ash, and DE, while EE was decreased from 6.02 to 5.30% and lowered the anti-nutritional factor dry gluten by 50% and trypsin inhibitor activity by 62.4% compared to untreated WGM. Blair (2018) reported that WGM contains 250-300g/kg CP, 70-120 g/kg crude fat and 30-60 g/kg CF. Moran and Summers (1967) reported that raw WGM contains 29% CP, 2.1% CF, and 10.3% EE, and the content did not influence antitrypsin activity. It was also found that autoclaving of raw WGM for 90 min did not influence CP, CF, EE, ash, and DE contents but antitrypsin activity was degraded. Creek et al. (1962) stated that autoclaving destroyed the activity of trypsin inhibitor and hemagglutinin factor. Also, Zhu et al. (2006) found that defatted WGM contains high amount of protein (34.9%) and has a well-balanced amino acids profiles. Parrish and Bolt (1963) declared that raw WGM contain gluten as an anti-nutritional factor.

However, Bayley et al. (1968) found that raw WGM contained 29% CP, 3.4% CF, 10.3% EE, while after autoclaving for 45 or 20 min decreased CP contain from 29% to 28.6 and 28.7%, respectively. Moreover, CF reduced from 3.4% to 2.9% and EE from 10.3% to 9.7%. While, Ceve et al. (1968) found that the pelleting process increased the nutritive value of raw WGM, particularly in ME values.

Growth performance

Values of final body weight, BWG, and FCR almost close to the values found in rabbits fed on the low level (20%) of treated or untreated WGM. However, at an increased untreated WGM in diet, significantly decreased final body weight and daily BWG and improved FCR. These results can be explained by Lawrence et al. (2002) who reported growth performance in pigs fed on diets containing wheat gluten at levels of 3, 6, 9 and 12% was not improved.

This decline in growth performance with increasing WGM level may be due to an increase in anti-proteolytic factor (trypsin inhibitor) which inhibit enzymatic digestion of proteins or other hemagglutinin factors (Creek and Vasaitis, 1962). Also, Moran and Summers (1967) found that anti trypsin activities were very high in raw WGM. Parrish and Bolt (1963) confirmed the impairment of growth rate, feed efficiency and fat absorption in chicks as a result of feeding raw WGM and the presence of gluten. In addition, Creek et al. (1961) reported that when chicks fed raw WGM led to a reduction in growth rate and feed efficiency, accompanied by hypertrophy of the liver and impairment of the absorption of fat and protein. In the present study, FI was not significantly affected by dietary treatments. Moran and Summers (1967) studied the effects of WGM autoclaved at 121 °C for 20 minutes then incorporating to chicken diets at the level of either 47% or 63.8% and found an improvement in these groups in growth performance and feed utilization compared with other groups fed WGM autoclaved for 90 min and control group. Also, Ceve et al. (1968) found that addition of AWGM (autoclaving at 118 °C for 20 or 45 min) to broiler diet at a level of 33% improved BWG and FCR. Furthermore, Rafai et al. (2011) concluded that BWG of pigs was improved by 6% when they fed diet supplemented with fermented wheat germ extract at the level of 1g/kg of diet compared with the control group. Also, Ellakany et al. (2017) documented that incorporation of fermented wheat germ extract with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* at levels of 0.5, 1.5 and 3 g/kg of diet increased body weight significantly in broilers, especially at the dose 3g/kg of diet.

Digestibility coefficient

The effect of experimental diets on digestion coefficient and nutritive values were in agreement with Moran and Summers (1967) who found denaturation of raw WGM increased digestibility because of decreased resistance to enzymatic degradation and destruction of toxic factors. Also, Nesheim et al. (1962) stated that fat absorption of raw soybean meal in chickens was improved by heat treatment. Moreover, similar processing of raw soybean enhanced meal digestibility from 64 to 88% in the cockerel (Nitsan, 1965) and from 54 to 89% for the laying hen (Nesheim and Garlich, 1966). Contrarily, Ceve et al. (1968) found that addition of 33% AWGM at 118 °C for 20 or 45 min) to broiler diet were significantly improved metabolizable energy and fat digestibility compared to those fed on raw WGM. Suliman et al. (2015) found an insignificant decrease in terms of digestion coefficients of CP, CF, and NFE when rabbits fed diets containing chemically and biologically treated castor meal at 20% as replacement of soybean meal.

Carcass characteristics

The results obtained in the current study was consistent with Duwa et al. (2014) who reported rabbit fed with 30% roasted sunflower seed meal indicated highest average values in terms of slaughter weight, carcass weight and dressing percentage in comparison to groups received 10, 20 % roasted sunflower seed meal and control group. While, there was no significant difference in liver, kidney, lung, and heart among the treatment groups. Gasmı et al. (2007) found that liver weight was not affected when rabbits fed with rapeseed meal (canola seed meal) replaced up to 14% dietary soybean meal. On the other hand, Leeuw et al. (2009) detected no difference in carcass weight and dressing percentage when using 75 or 100% maize germ meal in steers diets. Ellakany et al. (2017) found that combination of fermented wheat

germ extract with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* at doses of 0.5, 1.5 and 3 g/kg of diet significantly increased the percentage of intestinal weight and decreased the weight of the liver and total body fat in broilers.

Meat quality

The supplementation of natural antioxidant in feed decreases lipid peroxidation and improves stability of meat. Consistently, Gnanasampandam and Zayas (2007) found that the addition of 7% wheat germ protein flour decreased the fat content in frankfurters. On the other hand, Arshad et al. (2013) found that combination of wheat germ oil and lipoic acid improves the quality of the broiler meat. Arshed et al. (2017) reported that nuggets were made from the leg meat of chickens fed with combination of α -lipoic acid (150 mg/kg) and wheat germ oil (200 mg/kg) showed maximum antioxidant power as well as stability during storage.

Blood constituents

In the present experiment, the results of blood constituents were in agreement with Ellakany et al. (2017) who found the incorporation of fermented wheat germ extract with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* at rates of 0.5, 1.5 and 3 g/kg diet significantly decreased triglyceride level in blood. A significant decrease in AST concentration was observed at a dose of 1.5 g/kg of diet, while both doses of 1.5 and 3 g/kg of diet decreased significantly ALT level in the blood. In this respect, Louis et al. (1991) reported that blood lipid was decreased when adding small quantities of raw and defatted wheat germ in the rat diet.

Economic efficiency

Rabbit fed on the diets contained untreated or treated WGM at level of 20 % had higher economic return than control diets. These results were in agreement with Leeuw et al. (2009) who found lower feed cost when using 100% defatted maize germ meal in steers' diets.

CONCLUSION

It is concluded that untreated or autoclave-treated WGM can be used up to 20% in growing rabbit diets as a substitution for soybean meal protein without adverse effects in rabbit performance and an improvement in economic efficiency compared to those fed with 40% untreated or autoclave-treated WGM.

DECLARATIONS

Author's contributions

Dr. Walaa A. Salama designed the work and drafted the manuscript. Dr. Amira M. Refaie performed the statistical analysis, tabulation of the experimental data and chemical analysis. Dr. Hamdy F. Amin participated in review the manuscript and Dr. Lamiaa F. Abdel Mawla performed the practical part of the experiment.

Competing interests

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- AOAC (2000). Official Methods of Analysis, 17th Edition. Washington D.C., pp. 55-101.
- Arshad MS, Anjum FM, Khan MI and Shahid M (2013). Wheat germ oil enrichment in broiler feed with α lipoic acid to enhance the antioxidant potential and lipid stability of meat. *Lipids Health Disease*, 12:164-191. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-511X-12-164>.
- Arshad MS, Lmran A, Nadeem MT, Sohaib M, Saeed F, Anjum FM, Kown, JH and Hussain S (2017). Enhancing the quality and lipid stability of chicken nuggets using natural antioxidants. *Lipids Health Disease*, 16:108. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12944-017-0496-4>.
- Barton--Wright EC and Monr NT (1946). The microbiological assay of amino-acids, II. The distribution of amino-acids in the wheat grain. *Analyst*, 71:278-282. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/an9467100278>
- Bayley HS, Summers, JD and Slinger SJ (1968). Effect of heat treatment on the metabolizable energy value of wheat germ meal and other wheat milling by products. *Cereal Chemistry*, 45:557.
- Biasco A and Ouhayoun J (1996). Harmonization of criteria and terminology in rabbit meat research. *World Rabbit Science*, 4: 93-99. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4995/wrs.1996.278>.
- Blair R (2018). Nutrition and feeding of organic poultry. Approved ingredients for organic diets, 2nd Edition. CABI, UK, p. 74. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1079/9781845934064.0000>

- Brandolini A and Hidalgo A (2012). Wheat germ: not only a by-product. *International Journal Food Science Nutrient*, 63 (1):71-74. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3109/09637486.2011.633898>
- Ceve NAG, Slinger S and Summers JD (1968). Significance of processing wheat germ meal for growing chicks. *Canadian Journal. Animal Science*, 48:199-205. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4141/cjas 68-025>
- Cheeke PR (1987). Rabbit feeding and nutrition. Academic Press, Orlando, Florida, USA, p. 376
- Creek RD and Vasaitis V (1962). Detection of an anti-proteolytic substance in raw wheat germ. *Poultry Science*, 41: 1351-1352.
- Creek RD, Vasaitis V and Schumaier G (1961). The improvement of the nutritive value of raw wheat germ meal by autoclaving. *Poultry Science*, 40:822-824. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps 0400822>.
- Creek RD, Vasaitis V, Pollard WO and Schumaier G (1962). Evidence for the presence of a thermolabile growth inhibitor in raw wheat germ. *Poultry Science*, 41: 901-904.
- De Vasconcelos MC, Bennett R, Castro C, Cardoso P, Saavedra MJ and Rosa EA (2013). Study of composition, stabilization and processing of wheat germ and maize industrial by-products. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 42: 292-298. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j. indcrop.2012.06 .007>
- Duncan DB (1955). Multiple Range and Multiple F-Test. *Biometrics*, 11:1-42.
- Duwa AY, Girgird AD and Igwebuikue JU (2014). The effect of feeding graded levels of roasted sunflower (*Helianthus Annuus* L.) seed meal on weaner rabbits. *Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research*, 4 (5):107- 112. [http://www.ojafr.ir/main/attachments/article/106/Online%20J.%20Anim.%20Feed%20Res.,%204\(5\)%20107-112,%202014.pdf](http://www.ojafr.ir/main/attachments/article/106/Online%20J.%20Anim.%20Feed%20Res.,%204(5)%20107-112,%202014.pdf)
- Egyptian Ministry of Agriculture (2014). Study of important indicator of the agriculture statistics.
- Ellakany H, El-Sayed A, Soliman F and Elbestawy A (2017). The effect of fermented wheat germ extract on biochemical, physiological and performance of broiler chickens. *Alexandria Journal Veterinary Sciences*, 55(2):91-97. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5455/ajvs.280407>
- Elliott DC, Orth RJ, GAO J, Werpy TA, Eakin DE and Schmidt AJ (2002). Bio refinery concept development based on wheat flour milling. *Fuel Chemistry Division Preprints*, 47: 361-362.
- Feed composition for animal and poultry feed stuff used in Egypt (2001). Technical Bulletin No.1, Central lab for feed and food. Ministry of Agriculture. Egypt.
- Gasmi B, Hedi M El Hichi and Faiza K (2009). Feeding rapeseed meal to rabbits: Digestibility, performance and carcass characteristics. *Asian journal of animal and veterinary advances*, 2(1):38-41. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3923/ajava.2007.38.41>
- Gerald F, Combs JR and James P McClung (2017). Fundamental Aspects in Nutrition and Health. The Vitamins, Vitamin E, 5th Edition. Academic Press, pp.207-242. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-802965-7.00008-3>
- Gnanasambandam R and Zayas JF (2007). Quality characteristics of meat batters and frankfurters containing wheat germ protein flour. *Journal of Food Quality*, 17 (2): 129-142. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1745- 4557.1994.tb00138.x>.
- Hafez LO, Ali FA, El-Ghoneimy AA and Abdel-Aziz MI (2019). Nephro-Protective effect of wheat germ oil on gentamicin-induced acute nephrotoxicity in wistar albino rat. *International Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, 2 (1): 51-67.
- Haraszi R, Chassaigne H, Maquet and Ulberth F (2011). Analytical methods for detection of gluten in food-method developments in support of food labeling legislation. *Journal AOAC international*, 94 (4): 1006-1025.
- Lawrence KR, Goodband RD, Tokach MD, Nelssen, J L, Dritz SS, De Rouchey JM, Hastad CW, Hanni SM, Barker MR and James BW (2002). Evaluation of wheat gluten and spray-dried animal plasma on growth performance of nursery pigs. *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*, 10: 88-93. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.6762>.
- Leeuw KJ, Meissner HH and phallic D (2009). A comparison between hominy chop and defatted maize germ meals as the main energy source in diets of feedlot steers. *South African Journal of Animal Science*, 39 (1): 126- 129. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4314/sajasv39i1.61214>.
- Louis C, Borel B, Martine A and Senft M (1991). Effects of increasing levels of raw or defatted wheat germ on liver, feces and plasma lipids and lipoproteins in the rat. *Nutrition Research*, 11(8): 907-916. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0271-5317\(05\)80618-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0271-5317(05)80618-9).
- Makkar HPS, Siddhuraju P and Becker K (2008). Molecular biotechnology: Plant secondary metabolites. *Molecular Biotechnology*, 38(2):185.
- Moran ET and Summers JD (1967). The effect of toasting and autoclaving on the quality of wheat germ meal protein for the growing chick. *Cereal Science*, 1(2):117.
- Nesheim MC and Garlich JD (1966). Digestibility of unheated soy bean meal for laying hens. *Journal Nutrition*, 88:187-192. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn 88.2.187>.
- Nesheim MC, Garlich JD and Hopkins DT (1962). Studies on the effect of raw soy bean meal on fat absorption in young chicks. *Journal Nutrition*, 78:89-94. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn 78.1.89>.

