Effect of Lysine Supplementation in Commercial Feed on Energy Retention and Feed Conversion Ratio of Carp (Omphronemus gouramy)
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ABSTRACT

The long period of raising carp (Omphronemus gouramy) causes the need for excessive feed. One way that can accelerate the growth of this fish in order to shorten the maintenance period is by the addition of essential amino acids, such as lysine. However, this certainly gives its own influence on energy retention. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine the influences of addition of lysine in feed on energy retention and feed conversion ratio of carp. The research method used an experimental method with a completely randomized design consisting of five treatments and four replications. The treatments used were the addition of Lysine 0%, 1%, 1.5%, 2%, and 2.5% to the feed. The present experiment was conducted for a year. The results showed that the addition of lysine as much as 2% in commercial feed can increase the energy retention of carp (Omphronemus gouramy). Moreover, the addition of lysine by giving up to 2.5% cannot reduce the feed conversion ratio in carp (Omphronemus gouramy) rearing. It can be concluded that the use of lysine has different effects related to the increase in retention and decrease conversion ratio in carp.
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INTRODUCTION

Carp (Omphronemus gouramy) in Indonesia experiences an increase in production every year. As in 2011, the market demand for carp was 9,322 tons and in 2012 the demand increased to 10,303 tons (Zakaria, 2008).

The growth of carp is slow to reach an average weight of 250 grams/head in male species and 200 grams/head in female takes 10-12 months (Handajani, 2007). The long period of raising carp causes the need for feed consumption (Muzdalipah and Yulianto, 2018). One way that can accelerate the growth of this fish in order to shorten the maintenance period is by the addition of essential amino acids, such as lysine (Simanjuntak et al., 2016; Nguyen et al., 2019). Essential amino acids are amino acids that cannot be synthesized by animals or plants and they trigger growth rate (Lovell, 1998). The requirement of lysine for carp is greater than that of other essential amino acids which is 5.7% (Viola et al., 1992). Lysine may improve the balance of utilization of amino acids so that it can increase the growth rate (Alam et al., 2005).

Amino acids in proteins are used as forming new proteins during growth and reproduction or replacing damaged proteins during the maintenance and growth period (Hidayat, 2016). The lysine supplementation as an essential amino acid made up of protein is expected to increase the energy retention of carp (Güroy et al., 2017; Nguyen et al., 2019).

On the other hand, the benefits of lysine as a supplemented ingredient to accelerate the growth of carp can be observed from the value of the FCR (Gan et al., 2013). FCR is the ratio between the dry weights of feed consumed and fish weight gain (Afrianto and Liviaidyati, 1992). The addition of lysine is expected to reduce the Food Conversion Ratio (FCR), so that it can accelerate the growth of carp and shorten the maintenance time (rearing period) to the commercial size of consumption. For this reason, the present study was conducted with the aim of analyzing the addition of lysine in commercial feed to energy retention and conversion ratio of carp feed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This research was conducted from April 2016 to May 2016 in the Laboratory of the Faculty of Fisheries and Maritime Affairs, Universitas Airlangga. Proximate analysis of carp feed and the meat was conducted at the Feed Laboratory of Universitas Airlangga. Through this, several tools were used, namely 40x25 × 25 cm³ aquariums of 20 pieces, small aerators, aerated hoses, aeration stones, siper (fishes trap), plastic bags, pH meters, thermometers, DO meters, refractometers, analytical scales, sipon (suction device).
The animals used for testing in this study were carp (*Osphronemus gouramy*). The carps used are 8-10 cm in size and each aquarium contains 10 fishes. Five treatments and four replications were arranged, with 20 aquariums and 200 fishes. The maintenance media used in this study are fresh water with a volume of 15 liters per aquarium. The diet was included commercial feed in the form of pellets and lysine (CAS Number 56-87-1, L-Lysine ≥98% (TLC), Sigma-Aldrich).

Along with conducting the research, carps were selected before being stocked in an aquarium. In this case, the selection is based on uniformity in size and completeness of the body's organs so that it can be ascertained that the carp is healthy and homogeneous. Before stocking, carps are acclimatized for 30 minutes. Stocking of carp was done in the morning or evening to avoid stress.

The study compared the effect between commercial feed which was not given lysine and commercial feed including lysine at different doses in each treatment (P₀, P₁, P₂, P₃, P₄) on energy retention and FCR in carp (*Osphronemus gouramy*). Analyses of variance were performed using the GLM procedure of SAS Institute Inc. (2005) as a completely randomized design consisting of five treatments with four replications.

**RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

**Energy Retention**

The results showed the energy retention value of carp ranged from 23.36 to 37.42%. Data on average energy retention can be seen in Table 1.

Meanwhile, ANOVA statistical test results showed that the administration of amino acid lysine in commercial feed showed no significant difference in the energy retention of carp. Based on Duncan’s Multiple Range Test, there was a difference between treatments with the highest supplemented amount at P₃ and the lowest amount at P₀. This shows that the supplementation of lysine in commercial feed can increase energy retention in comparison with feed without the addition of lysine.

Increased energy retention can occur due to lysine; because it’s one of the essential amino acids that cannot be synthesized by the animal body. So it must be included through feed, with proper feeding and the appropriate dosage then an increase in energy retention can occur because the main source of energy in fish is a protein (Zhang et al., 2009). The dietary addition of lysine which is a monomer from protein causes absorption will be faster because it occurs directly in the intestine (Zhang et al., 2009). It is well known that protein is an important component of energy compilers in fish (Lovell, 1998). Therefore, amino acid metabolism can occur through two stages, namely transamination, and deamination (Buwono, 2000). Through these events, amino acids can be converted into acetyl Co-A which then generates to the Krebs cycle to produce energy (Buwono, 2000).

The mean value of energy retention ranged from 23.36 to 37.42% with the highest energy retention in P₃ with the addition of lysine as much as 2%. This can be interpreted that out of every 3149.8179 Kcal/kg of feed energy consumed, it can be utilized for daily growth and metabolism in carp (0.3742 x 3149.8179 Kcal/kg) or 1.17866 Kcal/kg. On the other hand, the lowest energy retention calculation results are at P₀ as many as 23.36%. Such conditions indicate that energy that can be utilized for the growth and metabolism of carp is (0.2336 x 3044.2726 Kcal/kg) or 711.14 Kcal/kg.
Through this matter, it is known that energy retention is related to protein feed levels because they also contain protein in addition to carbohydrates and fats (Saravanan et al., 2012). Analysis of feed protein for $P_0$, $P_1$, $P_2$, $P_3$, and $P_4$ are 25.15; 27.82; 28.94; 30.61; 32.06. Moreover, energy analysis levels of $P_0$, $P_1$, $P_2$, $P_3$, and $P_4$ are 3138.47; 3317.91; 3323.779; 3238.94; 3360.34. The highest energy retention is $P_3$ with the addition of lysine as many as 2%, the protein content of the feed is 30.61%, and the energy content of 3238.94 Kcal/kg. The protein-energy level is the optimal ratio for carp because the protein content of the feed approaches the minimum requirement for its protein feed in the growth phase of 32%.

The addition of 2.5% lysine possibly produced a protein content of feed in accordance with the protein requirements of carp feed is 32.06% in $P_4$ but the resulting energy retention was lower than $P_3$. This is due to an imbalance between protein-energy requirements in feed, so excess protein will cause extra energy demands to do the deamination process so that the energy that should be used for growth will be reduced (NRC, 1989). Therefore, without the right energy-protein balance will not have an impact on growth, the level of protein-energy in the feed also affects feed consumption, if the protein-energy level exceeds the need, it will reduce consumption so that the uptake of other nutrients including protein will decrease (Lemos et al., 2014). Comparison between protein retention and energy must be optimal in order to stimulate growth. In fact, high energy intake can reduce protein consumption as an energy source (Souto et al., 2013).

**Feeding Conversion Rate**

The results showed the FCR of carp ranged from 3.39 to 5.05. Data on average FCR can be seen in Table 2.

The results of the statistical analysis of lysine amino acids in commercial feed, in Table 2, showed results that were not significantly different ($p > 0.05$) to the conversion ratio of carp feed. Based on Duncan’s Multiple Range Test, it was found that there were no differences between treatments. Therefore, it is known that the FCR is the ratio between the dry weights of the food consumed and fish weight gain (Afrianto and Liviawaty, 1992) The FCR is used as one of the benchmarks of success both technically and financially. On the other hand, the value of the FCR is inversely proportional to the growth in fish weight. The higher FCR shows that the feed given is increasingly ineffective in the growth of carp.

Meanwhile, ANOVA statistical results and Duncan’s Multiple Range Test showed that the supplementation of lysine in commercial feed did not affect the FCR value in carp ($p > 0.05$). Based on the calculation of feed consumed, the amount of feed consumed $P_0$ and $P_3$ has a small difference but the addition of $P_3$ fish weight is greater than that of $P_0$. Consequently, there is a tendency to decrease the value of feed conversion to occur at $P_3$. Feed consumption data can be seen in Table 2. The value of the $P_3$ FCR is 3.39, which means that 3.39 grams of feed give 1 gram of carp weight gain. $P_4$ FCR value is 5.05, which means to add 1 gram of carp weight, feed consumption of 5.05 grams is required.

On the other hand, the ANOVA statistical results of giving lysine in commercial feed to FCR were not significantly different, it possibly could be due to the content of lysine used in this study. In addition to achieving optimal growth, feed energy must meet the needs for daily activities, metabolism, and maintenance needs. Energy in feed is still used for the daily needs of fish, namely for metabolic processes so that maximum growth has not yet occurred. These conditions are in accordance with circumstances where not all the incoming energy can be digested and utilized for growth (Handajani and Widodo, 2010; Zuidhof, 2019). In this case, the dietary energy is physiologically used for maintenance and metabolism; if there is residual it will be deposited as body tissue in the growth process (Zuidhof, 2019).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Energy Retention ± SD (%)</th>
<th>Energy Retention ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_0$</td>
<td>23.36 ± 9.84</td>
<td>4.76 ± 1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_1$</td>
<td>23.90 ± 4.53</td>
<td>4.87 ± 0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_2$</td>
<td>24.73 ± 4.58</td>
<td>4.95 ± 0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_3$</td>
<td>37.42 ± 8.61</td>
<td>6.09 ± 0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_4$</td>
<td>26.03 ± 5.16</td>
<td>5.08 ± 0.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: $P_0$: Lysine 0%; $P_1$: Lysine 1%; $P_2$: Lysine 1.5%,$P_3$: Lysine 2%; $P_4$: Lysine 2.5%, and SD: standard deviation. Different superscripts in the same column show significant differences in Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (Duncan’s Multiple Range Test).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Feed Conversion Rate ± SD</th>
<th>Feed Conversion Rate ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_0$</td>
<td>5.05 ± 1.55</td>
<td>2.33 ± 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_1$</td>
<td>4.50 ± 0.91</td>
<td>2.23 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_2$</td>
<td>4.15 ± 0.85</td>
<td>2.15 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_3$</td>
<td>3.39 ± 1.00</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_4$</td>
<td>3.82 ± 0.75</td>
<td>2.07 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: $P_0$: Lysine 0%; $P_1$: Lysine 1%; $P_2$: Lysine 1.5%,$P_3$: Lysine 2%; $P_4$: Lysine 2.5%, and SD: Standard Deviation.
CONCLUSION

Based on present findings, it can be concluded, the supplementation of lysine as much as 2% in commercial feed can increase the energy retention of carp (Osphronemus gouramy). As well as, the dietary supplementation of lysine to commercial feed by giving up to 2.5% may not reduce the feed conversion ratio in carp (Osphronemus gouramy) breeding.
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